

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION, THIRUVANATHAPURAM**

AT THE PUBLIC HEARING ON 19-03-2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Objections of HT & EHT Association on Review petition of KSEBL against the KSERC Order dated 21 Aug 2018 in petition OA No: 6/2018 in the matter of Truing Up of accounts of KSEBL for the year 2015-16.

OBJECTIONS FILED BY:

**THE KERALA HIGH TENSION AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION INDUSTRIAL
ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION**

PRODUCTIVITY HOUSE, JAWAHARLAL NEHRU ROAD, KALAMASSERY 683104,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT

E-mail: keralahtehtassociation@gmail.com

OBJECTIONS OF THE KERALA HT & EHT INDUSTRIAL ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION

BACKGROUND

1. Hon'ble Commission as per order dated 21 Aug 2018 in Petition **OA No 6/2018** in the matter of Truing Up of accounts of KSEB for the year 2015-16 has approved the revenue gap for the year at Rs 202.97 Cr.
2. Now KSEBL has come up with a Review Petition after 6 months of the issue of the Order dated 21 Aug 2018 demanding **Rs 780.13 Cr** as disallowance in the Truing Up Order. The first question that arises is whether a review petition submitted after 6 months can be admitted or not?
3. The basic principle and judicial practice is that a review of an order is maintainable only if the points raised are of an error apparent in nature. A review on merit of the order is not admissible. In the instant case, it is to be examined and determined by the Hon'ble Commission whether the points raised by KSEBL are of error apparent in nature or it is a challenge on the merit of the findings and decisions of the Commission.
4. The principle of a Truing Up process is that any over expenditure in controllable items cannot be approved over and above the approved amount in the ARR&ERC Order. Prudent expenses in uncontrollable items may be allowed.
5. On the above issues raised, we humbly submit our objections as detailed below. We request The Hon'ble Commission to consider our objections favorably and pass appropriate orders.

Objections

6. As per Regulation 67 of the KSERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations 2003, a review petition has to be filed within 45 days of issue of the relevant order. In the case of KSEBL's review petition, it has been filed after 170 days.

7. On this count itself, this review petition of KSEBL is not admissible and hence it should be rejected. We suspect that the motive of the KSEBL is to get this petition rejected by the Hon'ble Commission and to approach the Hon'ble APTEL, since KSEBL cannot approach the APTEL as the time period has also expired.

8. As per Section 157 (Review) of Electricity Act 2003, any review petition shall be entertained on the ground that it was passed under a mistake of fact, ignorance of any material fact or any error apparent on the face of the record. In the Review petition of KSEBL, they have not brought out any of the grounds mentioned above, except for the item 1," Disallowance under interest & finance charges". All the other heads KSEBL asked for a review through this petition such as

- a) Disallowance of Employee cost by Rs.202.37 crore.**
- b) Disallowed section 3(1) duty Rs.111.37 crore**
- c) Disallowed depreciation Rs.156.35 Cr**

Review of all these three heads through this petition, KSEBL is challenging the systems and procedures of KSERC. KSEBL never pointed out any error apparent in these three items, but really challenging the working of the Hon'ble Commission. Order of a Commission can be challenged only before the Hon'ble APTEL.

Since they have not brought out any mistake of fact, ignorance of any material fact or any error apparent on the face of the record, the above three heads in their review petition need not be revisited.

9. Without prejudice to our contentions as above, we submit below our detailed comments on item (1) Disallowance under interest & finance charges in the KSEBL's review petition on truing up order dated 21 Aug 2018.

Disallowance under interest & finance charges

Interest net of capitalization

Hon'ble KSERC denied this claim in the order itself by stating ***“KSEB Ltd has accounted the depreciation as per rates notified by Government of India, whereas the Commission has allowed depreciation as per the rates notified by CERC, as provided in the Electricity Act and as well as Tariff Policy. Accordingly, from 2006-07 onwards, the Commission has disallowed the depreciation on account of the difference between the rates”***, hence the claim for Rs 299.33 Cr against Rs 248.81 Cr approved in the truing up order has to be revisited.

OD interest

As per the KSERC Order dated 21 Aug 2018 in petition OA No: 6/2018 in the matter of Truing Up of accounts of KSEBL for the year 2015-16. It is clearly mentioned that ***“KSEB Ltd did not provide SBU wise details of these over drafts. According to KSEB Ltd, the overdrafts are availed mainly for meeting the revenue deficits and no interest charges assigned to SBU-G. Hence the Commission is not approving any interest on account of overdrafts availed”***. Due to the above mentioned point the claim for OD interest need not be considered.

Electricity Duty -Section 3 (1).

As per the Kerala Electricity Duty Act 1963, the Electricity duty under Section 3 (1) shall be borne by the Licensee and shall not be passed on to the consumers. The Hon'ble Commission had disallowed this duty fully in all the earlier ARR&ERC and True up orders from 2003 onwards. APTEL also rejected KSEBL's similar request on Electricity Duty under Section 3(1) in its orders. We are constrained to point out that this is an irritant action from KSEBL time and again in spite of the orders of the Hon'ble Commission and APTEL.

Hence, we request the Hon'ble Commission to instruct KSEBL not to take up the Electricity Duty under section 3 (1) forever.

Summary of KSEBL's review petition.

Description	KSEBL	KSERC	Difference	Additional Claim in review Petition
Interest & finance in Rs Cr	837.15	527.11	310.04	310.04
Employ Cost in Rs Cr	2100.05	1897.66	202.39	202.37
Section 3(1) duty in Rs Cr	111.37	0	111.37	111.37
Depreciation in Rs Cr	491.22	334.87	156.35	156.35
Total in Rs Cr	3539.79	2759.64	780.15	780.13
Truing up ARR in Rs Cr	12036	11390.8	645.22	
Revenue gap in truing up order in Rs Cr	808.89	202.97	605.92	

Prayer

1. Considering the points elaborated above, we request the Hon'ble Commission to summarily reject the KSEBL's review petition on Truing Up order for 2015-16 due to the exorbitant delay (125 days) in submitting the review petition.
2. To bring a control on condonation petitions, we request the Hon'ble Commission to conduct a public hearing before admitting condonation petitions for important matters such as ARR & ERC, Truing up and Fuel Surcharge, as prevailed earlier.
3. The KSEBL has not pointed out any error apparent in the truing up order for the following items
 - a) **Disallowance of Employee cost by Rs.202.37 crore.**
 - b) **Disallowed section 3(1) duty Rs.111.37 crore**
 - c) **Disallowed depreciation Rs.156.35 Cr**

Since KSEBL has not brought out any mistake of fact, ignorance of any material fact or any error apparent on the face of the record, the above three heads in the review petition need not be revisited.

4. We request the Hon'ble Commission to instruct KSEBL not to take up the Electricity Duty under section 3 (1) forever.